

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/712,126 11/13/2003		Kazuhisa Yamamoto	YAO-3750US4	7681		
23122 7	590 05/05/2006		EXAMINER			
RATNERPRESTIA			NGUYEN, DUNG T			
POBOX 980	GE, PA 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
VALLETTON	OL, 17 17402 0700		2828			
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/200	DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5×

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/712,126		YAMAMOTO ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
			Dung (Mich	ael) T. Nguyen	2828				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appe	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
WHIC - Exten after 5 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. eatutory period will will, by statute, c	TE OF THI (a). In no even Il apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION I, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>30 Jar</i>	nuary 2006						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) 🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) 81,85,86 and 90 is/are pending in the application.								
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) 78-80,82-84 and 87-89 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
)⊠ Claim(s) <u> </u>								
<u> </u>	r)⊠ Claim(s) <u>67,65,86 and 90</u> is/are rejected. r)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
ت(۵		otion and or		quironic.					
Application	on Papers								
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.	•						
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office actions.	documents documents of the priorit	have been have been ty documer (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications to the large transfer transfer to the large transfer tra	on No ed in this National	Stage			
	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
,	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/13/03,12/21/05</u> .	PTO/SB/08)		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PT	D-152)			

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Species III with traverse along with Species I and II in the reply filed on 01/30/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement of between Species III with traverse along with Species I and II and Species IV, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

However; Applicant's election with traverse of Species III, claims 81, 86, and 90, in the reply filed on 01/30/06 is acknowledged.

I The traversal is on the ground(s) that dependent claim 85 belong in Species III.

This is found persuasive because dependent claim 85 indeed belongs to Species III. Therefore, it will be examined along with Species III.

II The traversal is on the ground(s) that independent claim 81 is generic to all of the claims of Species I, II, and III (claims 78-79, 81-83, 85-88, and 90). This is found persuasive.

Upon the allowance of generic claim 81, the non-elected claims 78-79, 82-83, and 87-88 will be considered for rejoinder. However, because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election requirement is made final.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,126

Art Unit: 2828

Priority

Should applicant desire to obtain the benefit of foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) prior to declaration of an interference, a translation of the foreign application should be submitted under 37 CFR 1.55 in reply to this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 81, 85-86, and 90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuura et al. (5363117) in view of Byer et al. (5036220).

With respect to claims 81 and 85, Matsuura et al. show in Fig.4 a laser device, comprising:

at least one laser light source (30) including a semiconductor laser (laser diode);

a first optical system (4) for irradiating a spatial modulation element (7) (liquid crystal cell in column 4, line 56-57) with laser light emitted from the laser light source; and

a second optical system (12) for irradiating a screen (9) with the light emitted from the spatial modulation element.

Matsuura et al. lack the laser light source further includes an optical wavelength conversion element in which periodic domain inverted structures are formed.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,126

Art Unit: 2828

Byer et al. teach in Fig.1 the laser light source includes an optical wavelength conversion (11) element in which periodic domain inverted structures are formed (column 5, lines 46-49).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Matsuura et al. what is taught by Byer et al. to convert the laser source radiation frequency to the desired optical frequency (column 4, lines 1-3).

With respect to claim 86, Byer et al. disclose in fig.1 an optical waveguide (17) for guiding the laser light from the semiconductor (column 4, lines 29-30).

With respect to claim 90, Matsuura et al. disclose the semiconductor laser is wavelength-locked (constant) (column 13, lines 24-25) (the claim recites the semiconductor laser is wavelength-locked and that means the semiconductor laser has a constant wavelength).

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Art Unit: 2828

Michael Dung Nguyen

04/23/06